	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	09/560,269	NOLTE, BARRY M.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	I Dorok Button	2102
	J. Derek Rutten	2192
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate commu IGHTS . This application is s	this application. If not included in due course. THIS
1. \boxtimes This communication is responsive to <u>2/13/07 amendment</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1,5,7-16,20,22-31,35 and 37-4	<u>5</u> .	
3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority up a) All b) Some* c) None of the:		or (f).
Certified copies of the priority documents have Contified copies of the priority documents have		- No
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have		
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 		
* Certified copies not received:		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		a reply complying with the requirements
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give		
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus	st be submitted.	
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	on's Patent Drawing Review	(PTO-948) attached
1) 🗌 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date	•	
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or	in the Office action of
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t	.84(c)) should be written on th he header according to 37 CFI	e drawings in the front (not the back) of R 1.121(d).
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT 		
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5 Notice of Inf	ormal Patent Application
Notice of Praftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Immary (PTO-413)
	Paper No./ľ	Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date	7. 🛭 Examiner's /	Amendment/Comment
Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. 🛛 Examiner's S	Statement of Reasons for Allowance
-	9.	
		wast -
	elitetiviso:	en enem examice Invidio

Application/Control Number: 09/560,269 Page 2

Art Unit: 2192

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to Applicant's submission filed 2/13/07, responding to the 11/13/06 Office action which detailed the rejection of claims 1, 5, 7-16, 20, 22-31, 35 and 37-45. Claims 1, 5, 12, 13, 16, 27, 31, 35, 42, and 43 have been amended. Claims 1, 5, 7-16, 20, 22-31, 35 and 37-45 remain pending in the application and have been fully considered by the examiner.

Response to Amendment/Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments, see sections I-IV on pages 13-14, filed 2/13/07, with respect to the objections to the drawings, the specification, and the claims, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objections of the drawings, the specification and the claims have been withdrawn.
- 3. Applicant's arguments, see section V on pages 14-15, filed 2/13/07, with respect to the rejection of claims 1, 8, 16, 23, 31, and 38 under 35 U.S.C. § 101 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1, 8, 16, 23, 31, and 38 under 35 U.S.C. § 101 has been withdrawn.
- 4. Applicant's arguments, see section VI on pages 15-16, filed 2/13/07, with respect to the rejection of claims 1, 5, 7-15, 31, 35, and 37-41 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 1st paragraph, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1, 5, 7-15, 31, 35, and 37-41 under U.S.C. § 112, 1st paragraph, has been withdrawn.
- 5. Applicant's arguments, see section VII on pages 16-18 and 20 (especially pages 16-17), filed 2/13/07, with respect to the rejection of claims 1, 5, 7-15, 31, 35, and 37-41 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. The prior art of record does not

Art Unit: 2192

adequately teach handling of a tail merge instrumentation scenario. It is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "properly instrument tail merged code" – see top of page 17) are not recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). However, an examiner's amendment to the claims is presented below in order to recite such limitations.

Page 3

6. Applicant's arguments, see section VII on pages 18-21, filed 2/13/07, with respect to the rejection of claims 16, 27, and 42 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), have been fully considered but are not persuasive. It is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the proper instrumentation of tail merged code) are not recited in the rejected claims. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Claims 16, 20, 22-30, and 42-45 are not directed to handling the instrumentation of a tail merge scenario. Instead, these claims are directed to eliminating probes before and after function calls, which has nothing to do with a tail merge. As admitted by Applicant, prior art of record Angel teaches the use of probes at the exit and entry point of a function, which along with the teaching of a tail merge by Muchnick, results in the invention of independent claims 16, 27, and 42. However, an examiner's amendment to the claims is presented below in order to recite limitations regarding the tail merge scenario.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

7. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Ryan T. Grace, Reg. No. 52,956 on 3/7/2007. This examiner's amendment is necessary so that the claims properly present the instrumentation of program code that contains a tail merge as described on page 11 lines 7-10 and 23-26 of the specification.

The application has been amended as follows:

--Begin Examiner's Amendment--

Please amend claims 1, 12, 16, 27, 31, and 42 as follows:

1. (Currently amended) A computer-implemented method for collecting information relating to execution of an application including at least one tail merged portion, the method being executed on a computer, the method comprising:

determining a set of probe locations in the application, wherein determining a set of probe locations includes:

identifying a probe location at a beginning of a calling function, identifying a probe location at an end of the calling function, identifying a probe location at a beginning of a first called function,

and

identifying a probe location at an end of the first called function,

identifying a probe location in the calling function at the beginning of a call to the first function,

identifying a probe location in the calling function at the end of the call to the first function,

identifying a probe location at a beginning of prior to a jump to a second function, identifying a probe location at an end of after the jump to the second function,

eliminating the probe location at the end of the first called function and eliminating the probe location at the end of after the jump to the second function when the first called function includes [[a]] the jump to the second function and when the second function includes a return to the calling function;

inserting probes in the identified locations that are not eliminated: and

collecting non-redundant information relating to the execution of the application using the inserted probes.

12. (Currently amended) A computer-readable storage medium having an application including computer-executable instructions including at least one tail merged portion, the computer-executable instructions comprising:

determining a set of probe locations in the application, wherein determining a set of probe locations includes:

identifying a probe location at a beginning of a calling function,

Art Unit: 2192

and

the inserted probes.

identifying a probe location at an end of the calling function,
identifying a probe location at a beginning of a first called function,
identifying a probe location at an end of the first called function,
identifying a probe location in the calling function at the beginning of a call to the
first function,

identifying a probe location in the calling function at the end of the call to the first function,

identifying a probe location at a beginning of prior to a jump to a second function, identifying a probe location at an end of after the jump to the second function,

eliminating the probe location at the end of the first called function and eliminating the probe location at the end of after the jump to the second function when the first called function includes [[a]] the jump to the second function and when the second function includes a return to the calling function; inserting probes in the identified locations that are not eliminated: and collecting non-redundant information relating to the execution of the application using

16. (Currently amended) A computer-implemented method for collecting information relating to execution of an application including at least one tail merged portion, the method

being executed on a computer, the method comprising:

Art Unit: 2192

determining a set of probe locations in the application, wherein determining a set of probe locations includes:

identifying a probe location at a beginning of a calling function, identifying a probe location at an end of the calling function, identifying a probe location at a beginning of a first called function, identifying a probe location at an end of the first called function, identifying a probe location in the calling function at the beginning of a call to the first called function,

identifying a probe location in the calling function al the end of the call to the first called function,

identifying a probe location prior to a jump to a second function, identifying a probe location after the jump to the second function, and determining whether that the first called function is one of: an internal called function and an external called function,

eliminating the probe location in the calling function at the beginning of the call to the first called function and eliminating the probe location in the calling function at the end of the call to the first called function when the first called function is an internal called function;

eliminating the probe location at the end of the first called function and eliminating the probe location after the jump to the second function when the first called function includes the jump to the second function and when the second function includes a return to the calling function;

Art Unit: 2192

inserting probes in the identified locations that are not eliminated; and collecting non-redundant information relating to the execution of the application using the inserted probes.

27. (Currently amended) A computer-readable storage medium having an application including computer-executable instructions including at least one tail merged portion, the computer-executable instructions comprising:

determining a set of probe locations in the application, wherein determining a set of probe locations includes:

identifying a probe location at a beginning of a calling function,
identifying a probe location at an end of the calling function,
identifying a probe location at a beginning of a first called function,
identifying a probe location at an end of the first called function,
identifying a probe location in the calling function at the beginning of a call to the
first called function,

identifying a probe location in the calling function al the end of the call to the first called function,

identifying a probe location prior to a jump to a second function,

identifying a probe location after the jump to the second function, and

determining whether that the first called function is one of: an internal called function and an external called function,

eliminating the probe location in the calling function at the beginning of the call to the first called function and eliminating the probe location in the calling function at the end of the call to the first called function when the first called function is an internal called function;

eliminating the probe location at the end of the first called function and eliminating the probe location after the jump to the second function when the first called function includes the jump to the second function and when the second function includes a return to the calling function;

inserting probes in the identified locations that are not eliminated; and collecting non-redundant information relating to the execution of the application using the inserted probes.

31. (Currently amended) A computer system comprising a processor that is arranged to execute computer-executable instructions including at least one tail merged portion, the computer-executable instructions comprising:

determining a set of probe locations in the application, wherein determining a set of probe locations includes:

identifying a probe location at a beginning of a calling function, identifying a probe location at an end of the calling function, identifying a probe location at a beginning of a first called function, identifying a probe location at an end of the first called function,

and

identifying a probe location in the calling function at the beginning of a call to the first function,

Page 10

identifying a probe location in the calling function at the end of the call to the first function,

identifying a probe location at a beginning of prior to a jump to a second function, identifying a probe location at an end of after the jump to the second function,

eliminating the probe location at the end of the first called function and eliminating the probe location at the end of after the jump to the second function when the first called function includes [[a]] the jump to the second function and when the second function includes a return to the calling function;

inserting probes in the identified locations that are not eliminated: and collecting non-redundant information relating to the execution of the application using the inserted probes.

42. (Currently amended) A computer system comprising a processor that is arranged to execute computer-executable instructions including at least one tail merged portion, the computer-executable instructions comprising:

determining a set of probe locations in the application, wherein determining a set of probe locations includes:

identifying a probe location at a beginning of a calling function, identifying a probe location at an end of the calling function,

first called function,

Art Unit: 2192

identifying a probe location at a beginning of a first called function, identifying a probe location at an end of the first called function, identifying a probe location in the calling function at the beginning of a call to the

identifying a probe location in the calling function al the end of the call to the first called function,

identifying a probe location prior to a jump to a second function,

identifying a probe location after the jump to the second function, and

determining whether that the first called function is one of: an internal called function and an external called function,

eliminating the probe location in the calling function at the beginning of the call to the first called function and eliminating the probe location in the calling function at the end of the call to the first called function when the first called function is an internal called function;

eliminating the probe location at the end of the first called function and
eliminating the probe location after the jump to the second function when the first called
function includes the jump to the second function and when the second function includes
a return to the calling function;

inserting probes in the identified locations that are not eliminated; and collecting non-redundant information relating to the execution of the application using the inserted probes.

⁻⁻End Examiner's Amendment-

Application/Control Number: 09/560,269 Page 12

Art Unit: 2192

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 1, 5, 7-16, 20, 22-31, 35, and 37-45 are allowed.

9. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The examiner indicated that this application would be in condition for allowance if the independent claims 1, 12, 16, 27, 31, and 42 are amended to include the features of a proper instrumentation of a tail merge (supported on page 11 lines 7-10 and 23-26 of the specification) including insertion of probes: in a calling function at the end of the call to a first function; and prior to a jump to a second function. Applicant argued that this feature was not addressed by the prior art of record (see pages 16-17, filed 2/13/07). The above features, taken in combination with all remaining features of the independent claim are not taught or suggested by the prior art of record. The applicant agreed to amend the independent claims 1, 12, 16, 27, 31, and 42 as indicated by the examiner. The distinctions provided by the independent claims apply equally to all dependent claims. Thus all pending claims 1, 5, 7-16, 20, 22-31, 35, and 37-45 are allowed.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 2192

Conclusion

Page 13

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Derek Rutten whose telephone number is (571)272-3703. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached on (571)272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

jdr

TUAN DAM SUPERVISORY PITENT EXAMINER